INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL BANKERS

299 PARK AVENUE, 17TH FLOOR, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10171 TELEPHONE: (212) 421-1611 FACSIMILE: (212) 421-1119 HTTP://WWW.IIB.ORG

LAWRENCE R. UHLICK EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AND GENERAL COUNSEL

July 19,2002

Chief of Records
Office of Foreign Assets Control
Department of the Treasury
1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washungton, D.C. 20220

Attention:

Request for **Comments**

Re:

Proposed Rule **Concerning** Disclosure of Certain Information

Regardine. Civil Penalties and Informal Settlements

Ladies and Gentlemen;

The Institute of International Bankers appreciates this opportunity to comment on the regulations proposed by the Office of Foreign Assets Control ('OFAC") concerning the public disclosure of information relating to civil penalties and informal settlements in relation to violations and alleged violations of OFAC'stegulations. The Institute is an association that represents the interests of internationally headquartered financial institutions that conduct banking, securities and insurance activities in the United States.

The Institute's member institutions have an important interest in how OFAC implements its proposed disclosure regulations. Our members conduct substantial financial activities ontside the United States in their home countries and other countries, as well as significant financial activities inside the United States. As a result, they commonly confront difficult and complex compliance issues under OFAC's regulations, particularly as they relate to US. sanctions programs that diverge Born the sanccidns policies of international banks' home countries.

The Institute agrees that **the** promulgation of public disclosure regulations **requires a delicate** balance between transparency on the one hand, and "foreign policy considerations and the requirements of the **statutes**, **Executive Orders**, and regulations [OFAC] administers and enforces" on the other. 'In this **regard**, the hsriruse suppons the **suggested** changes **to** OFAC's proposed regulations described in the New York Clearing **House's comment** letter of today's

⁶⁷ Fed. Reg. 416Sg (1we10, 2002).

. • INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL BANKERS

Office of Foreign Assets Control July 19, 2002
Page 2

date. **Specifically**, the **Institute supports** the suggestion **that** OFAC not disclose the identity of the entity involved if the entity has self-reported the violation or if **OFAC** and the entity have reached an informal settlement without any finding that the entity violated applicable **OFAC** regulations. The Institute also supports the suggestion that any eventual disclosure **by**OFAC of violations be **supplemented** to **include** clarifying fats to more accurately **describe** the violation.

In our members' experience, **an** open channel of self-reporting **has** been an important component of OFAC's enforcement function. By disclosing the names **of entities that** self-report: or settle informally, **and** further by not disclosing additional clarifying information regarding the potential violation, **OFAC** will **diminish** the value of the self-reporting process. **Marry** trmsactiois raise difficult **questions of interpretation relating** to **OFAC's regulations.** A public **disclosure** rule that penalizes self-teporting institutions for bringing **such transactions** to OFAC's attention **will discourage** self-reporting by well-meaning and law-abiding institutions, In the Institute's view, such an effect would impair OFAC's ability **to** administer **and** enforce its regulations. The Institute believes that disclosing the **types** of information **suggested** by the **New York** Clearing **House without disclosing** the **name of the** entity, in **contrast, will further** OFAC's goals of transparency without hurting OFAC's ability to administer and enforce its regulations.

The Institute appreciates that OFAC has indicated that it **does** not intend to make public **any** confidential commercial or financial information that is exempt from **public disclosure under** Exemption (4) of the Freedom of Information **Act** ('FOIA'').* The Institute believes **that** disclosing the types of information **described** above <u>without</u> the name of the entity will reduce the otherwise significant **risk** that OFAC's proposed disclosure rules would **call** for **disclosure** of confidential commercial or financial **information protected** from **disclosure under FOIA Exemption** (4).

Please contact the Institute if we can provide further assistance.

Sincerely,

Lawrence R. Uhlick Executive Director and

Laurence R. Whlide

General Counsel

² 67 Fed. Reg. at 41658; see 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4),